

NOV 02 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RODOLFO MENDOZA-MENDOZA,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-73864

Agency No. A098-918-135

MEMORANDUM^{*}On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration AppealsSubmitted October 13, 2009^{**}

Before: B. FLETCHER, LEAVY, and RYMER, Circuit Judges.

Rodolfo Mendoza-Mendoza, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order affirming an immigration judge's decision denying his application for asylum and withholding of removal.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo questions of law, *Cerezo v. Mukasey*, 512 F.3d 1163, 1166 (9th Cir. 2008), except to the extent that deference is owed to the BIA’s determination of the governing statutes and regulations, *Simeonov v. Ashcroft*, 371 F.3d 532, 535 (9th Cir. 2004). We review factual findings for substantial evidence. *Zehatye v. Gonzales*, 453 F.3d 1182, 1184-85 (9th Cir. 2006). We deny the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to consider Mendoza-Mendoza’s imputed political opinion and family as a social group claims because he did not exhaust them before the agency. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 677-78 (9th Cir. 2004).

We reject Mendoza-Mendoza’s claim that he is eligible for asylum and withholding of removal based on his membership in a particular social group, namely, young El Salvadorean males opposed to gangs. *See Barrios v. Holder*, 2009 WL 2882868, *3-*4 (9th Cir. Sept. 10, 2009) (rejecting as a particular social group “young males in Guatemala who are targeted for gang recruitment but refuse because they disagree with the gang’s criminal activities”); *Santos-Lemus v. Mukasey*, 542 F.3d 738, 745-46 (9th Cir. 2008) (rejecting as a particular social group “young men in El Salvador resisting gang violence”) (internal quotation omitted).

Accordingly, because Mendoza-Mendoza failed to demonstrate that he was persecuted on account of a protected ground, we deny the petition as to his asylum and withholding of removal claims. *See Barrios*, 2009 WL 2882868 at *4.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.